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## By working faithfully eight

 hours a day, you may eventually get to be a boss and work twelve hours a day- Robert Frost


## Time and wellbeing

E Emotions, happiness and time are interconnected.
E Subjective wellbeing (SWB) increasingly recognised as an alternative indicator of personal and societal progress (as opposed to GDP, HDI, etc)

EHowever, there are disconnects between conventional and SWB measures - Easterlin's paradox - with various suggestions related to adaptation/ homeostasis:
(Brickman and Campbell 1971; Andrews and Withey 1976; Inglehart; Cummins)
E However, there are other arguments that life-domain measures do not capture all the relevant social and emotional aspects of SWB

## Generalised and hedonic wellbeing

$\Sigma$ Distinction between 'life-domain/generalised’ vs 'emotional/hedonic' wellbeing

E How happy/satisfied are you with your life (etc) in general? (Easterlin, Oswald, Helliwell etc)
$\Sigma$ How much time do you spend doing enjoyable activities? (Kahneman and Kruegar, Juster, Robinson, Gershuny)

- Kahneman and Krueger lead the field in this area
$\boldsymbol{z}$ They suggest (1997, 2006, Krueger and Schkade 2007) that 'real-time' methods, such as measuring time and affect through time diaries, are better for capturing SWB and avoiding such biases of recall, moods and question-order effects in surveys


## Who you work with - current literature

- Sparse literature over a long period, mostly USA-focussed

D Drucker 1953, Sociologist - nature of employment in the USA had shifted post-war so that most jobs, where low-status or senior management, involved assumptions of working for the good of an organisation rather than for a person, and structured status hierarchies with the good of a non-person at the heart shaped relations between people at work. Drucker also observed that "basic work of research [into social relations at work] still has to be done"
$\boxed{L i e b e r ~ 2011, ~ H u m a n ~ r e s o u r c e s ~-~ d a n g e r s ~ o f ~ s o c i a l ~ m e d i a ~ u s e ~ b y ~}$ employees, which risk violating employment, copyright, defamation, fair trade, \& securities \& exchange laws, National Labor Relations Act, Americans With Disabilities Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Uniform Trade Secrets Act - privacy in private life in decline

## Who you work with - current literature

E Hochschild - emotions managed at work
$\boxed{F l e t t} 2012$ - employees can manage stress of concealing elements of their identity which may cause stigma if known by co-workers

E Eakin \& MacEachen 1998 - strained relations in the workplace associated with the experience of \& reaction to illness \& injury at work

E Wilton, Páez,\& Scott 2007 - social interaction with colleagues has subtle influence on decision to switch from working primarily in an office to primarily telecommuting

Significant research into sexual \& racial harassment at work, responses to bullying behaviours

V Very little research on time with colleagues where time or activities outside work with colleagues outside workplace are central focus

## Who you work with - co-presence in diaries

Limited stylised estimates of time in psychology literature
$\boxed{2 a y n e ~ e t ~ a l ~} 2008$ - employees starting a new job spend more time with their mentors and more time training with colleagues where new employees perceived imbalance - either that they owed more to their employer than they should expect from the job or that the job owed them more than they owed the employer - used stylised estimates of time in training \& with mentor

Z Work colleagues present introduced in time-use diary surveys from Szalai 1965-66 study, included in many time use surveys from USA, including 1975-76, 1994-95, 1998-01, PATS (2006) \& ATUS (2003-12)
$\Sigma$ One of least analysed elements of diary data
V Most time use literature looks at time with spouse, time with children, and time with any other people in leisure or to estimate child care

## The US context - 2006 to 2010

$\boxed{\text { GFC and change of government (Obama) }}$
$\boxed{Z x p e c t}$ work to be more unpleasant - recession puts pressure on people
E Or might be more grateful for work!
What about colleagues - more or less pleasant company in recession?
$\boxed{\text { What about the informal economy - has there been a shift to domestic }}$ and care activities, are these more valued?

What about leisure and time with friends - more of the fun stuff, or cant afford to get out anymore?

E We use 2006 \& 2010 converted into the Multinational Time Use Study for ease of comparison of the two surveys

## Data Structure: An Example Diary

| Time | Main Activity | Where | Who with | Happy | Sad | Stressed | U-index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 to 7am | Sleeping | Home | Partner | 5.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 7 to 7.30 | Showering | Home | Alone | 4.6 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 |
| 7.30 to 8am | Eating breakfast | Home | Partner | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 8 to 9am | Commuting | Train At | Strangers | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 9am to 12 midday | Working | work <br> At | Colleagues | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 |
| 12 to 1 | Eating lunch | work <br> At | Alone | 5.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 to 5 pm | Working Drinking | work | Colleagues | 3.5 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 |
| 5 to 6.30 pm | socialising | Pub | Friends | 5.9 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 6.30 to 7pm | Cooking | Home | Partner | 4.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 to 7.30 pm | Eating dinner | Home | Partner | 4.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 7.30 to 9pm | Watching TV | Home | Partner | 4.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 to 9.30 | Reading | Home | Partner | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |
| 9.30 to 12 | Sleeping | Home | Partner | 4.6 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Proportion of PATS episodes where negative emotion rating > positive rating = Around 20\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NIVERSITY of } \\ & \text { XFORD } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | UNVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG |  |

## 2006 Diary Data - PATS

Z Princeton Time and Affect Survey (Kahneman, Krueger)
$\square$ Time diaries gathered for previous day (Phone interview) - sample 5,574 male affect-episodes, 6,331 female affect-episodes
$\square$ Emotion ratings asked of $\underline{3}$ random 15 -minute spells per day
$\Sigma 6$ measures of emotion, each rated on a 0-6 scale:
$\boxed{\Sigma P o s i t i v e ~-~ h a p p y, ~ i n t e r e s t e d ~}$
$\geq$ Negative - pain, sad, stressed, tired
E U-index calculated (unpleasantness) - any episode where negative emotion ratings outweigh positive emotion ratings (conservative approach to measuring unpleasantness - will have fewer unhappy episodes - around 20\%)


## 2010 Diary Data - American Time Use Survey

E Collected by Bureau of Labor Statistics, sub-sample of Current Population Survey collected after $8^{\text {th }} \& ~ f i n a l ~$ CPS wave

- Collected annually since 2003

E Emotions asked of 3 random episodes in 2010
E We use 2006 ATUS for comparison of change in total co-presence time (collected in same year as PATS) \& 2010 (both the full diary and the 3 episode emotion supplement)
$\square$ We are still testing differences in data collection methods between PATS (15 min) and ATUS (episode)
\% Unpleasant Time in America, 2006 \& 2010

\% Unpleasant Time by Age, 2006 \& 2010

\% Unpleasant Time by Activities, 2006 \& 2010


## Time with others in 2006 \& 2010 full diary ATUS

$\square$ No change in who else is present during most broad domains of activity between 2006 \& 2010
$\Sigma$ For women \& men increase of 5 minutes per day in personal care time alone

E Women do 4 minutes less unpaid domestic production alone and with children only but 4 minutes more with spouse, and all do more unpaid work with work colleagues

E Women \& men do less child care alone, more child care with others, including work colleagues

## Time with others in 2006 \& 2010 full diary ATUS

E Women \& men have 2-3 minutes more religious \& voluntary activity alone
$\Sigma$ Men double out-of-home leisure with colleagues, women increase out of home leisure alone \& with spouse \& child

E Men \& women increase TV time alone \& with either spouse or children, no change in TV with both spouse \& children or with others

## OLS Minutes Per Day With Colleagues in leisure activities

- Controlling for sex, age, day, employment of diarist, and state-level employment variables
- More time with colleagues
- Diarists who worked at least 90 minutes on diary day
- Higher general work hours
- Work as education professional or in construction
- Live in a state with a higher level of unemployment averaged over the year of the diary
- When the diarist is not a US citizen



## OLS Minutes Per Day With Colleagues in leisure activities

- Less time with colleagues
- Work as a manager or are self-employed
- In top $20 \%$ or bottom $20 \%$ of income range
- More children aged <18 in household
- Older worker
- Drop of around 1.5 minutes from 2006 to 2010 (coefficient small and marginally significant)
\% Unpleasant Time by who with, 2006 \& 2010

\% Unpleasant Time by Activities with friends, neighbours etc, 2006 \& 2010
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## Preliminary Conclusions

- Unpleasant time in the US has declined (though design effects may contribute)
$\Sigma$ Particularly declined for young people
E Declined for housework, care, volunteering, out home leisure and travel, but NOT work
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ Time with others:
- family more pleasant (domestic work more fun with partner around!)

E colleagues more pleasant
E BUT friends/neighbours less pleasant (shift to more TV and personal care, less going out)
$\Sigma$ Overall - seems to be a shift away from going out and enjoying leisure to staying in and committing to family time, and a certain appreciation in working with colleagues (as opposed to being unemployed), consistent with the theory of shifting from formal to informal economy in times of recession.
What is interesting is that this seems to be a more enjoyable pattern of activities!

